AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE			PAGE OF PAGE
		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE	PUACE DEG NO. LE DOGITET NO			1 4 0. (If applicable)
0001	4 Aug 03	1	i neu. No. /A	•	5. PRUJELI N	U. (IJ applicable)
S. ISSUED BY CODE	N00167	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If of		Item 6)	CODE	
Naval Surface Warfare Center, Carderock Div Code 3322: Michelle Pearman 9500 MacArthur Blvd West Bethesda MD 20817-5700	vision					
B. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, St	ate and ZIP Code)		(V)	9A. AMENDMENT	OF SOLICITATION N	0.
				1		
			×	9B. DATED (SEE	N00167-03-	R-0050
				SO. DATES (BLL	7 Jul 0	3
				1	N OF CONTRACT/OF	
				NO.		
				10B. DATED (SZ	EE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE		$\dashv$	. 45. 57,115 (52	- 14 LOVE 1 J J	
		TO AMENDMENTS OF SOL	CITATIO	ONS		
X The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14.	The hour and date specified for rec	eipt of Offers			is extended,	is not ex-
ended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date						
submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to DFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJE provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this a	CTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virt	tue of this amendment you desire to c	hange an o	f TO BE RECEIVED A	d the PLACE DESIG	be made by telegram or
13 THIS IT		MODIFICATIONS OF CONTR	ACTSIO	RUERS		
IT MOD	IFIES THE CONTRACT/O	RDER NO. AS DESCRIBED	IN ITEM	14.		
( \( \sqrt{)} \) A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Spe Tract order no. in Item 10a.	cify authority) THE CHANGE	S SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE N	IADE IN T	HE CON-		
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIE appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PUR	SUANT TO THE AUTHORITY O	F FAR 43.103 (b).	iges in pa	ying office,		
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO I	PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF	<del>-</del>				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authorit	ty)	_				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not,	is required to sign this docu	Iment and return		copies to	the issuing office	).
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized in	by UCF section headings,	including solicitation/contro	ict subje	ct matter where	feasible.)	··· <b>··</b>
The purpose of this amendment is to:						
1) Incorporate a Past Performance Questionna 2) Extend the closing date of the solicitation for				003 at 2:00 p	om.	
xcept as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced and effect.	l in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore o	changed, remains unchanged and in ful	force			
5A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF	CONTRAC	CTING OFFICER (T	ype or print)	
5B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF A	MERICA			16C.DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)	<b>-</b>	BY (Signate	re of Co	ntracting Office	·r)	
NSN 7540-01-152-8070	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30-105			DARD FORM 30	(REV 10-83)

NSN 7540-01-152-8070 PREVIOUS EDITION UNUSABLE

30-105

Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

PAST PERFORMANCE

To PCOs, ACOs, CORs

As part of the evaluation for solicitation N00167-03-R-0050, you are requested to provide information on past performance of the contractor (offeror) based on your knowledge of the work.

Please complete the evaluation as soon as practical and return to Michelle Pearman at (301)227-5784 fax, or email pearmanmr@nswccd.navy.mil.

Contract Number:	Agency:
Contractor Name:	
Procuring Contracting Officer:	Phone:
Administrative Contracting Officer:	Phone:
Technical Customer:	Phone:
Program/Nature of Effort:	
Performance Period:	

## A. Customer Satisfaction

Score

What are the indications for experiences with offeror's commitment to customer satisfaction? Are they responsive to customer needs and interact and cooperate well with customer personnel? Do they interact well with the subcontractor to meet schedule and quality?

- (1) Marginal: Needs constant govt. oversight, resists suggestions.
- (2) Average/Acceptable: Cooperative when confronted, responds well to issues.
- (3) Good/Satisfactory: Initiates feedback and seeks to improve.
- (4) Highly Satisfactory: Provided very good service, very cooperative. (5) Superior: Provided outstanding service, no customer complaints.

Please provide remarks to amplify the evaluation. If unable to evaluate, please indicate why.

### B. Contract Compliance

Score

What are the indications regarding contract terms and conditions being strictly adhered to? Does the contractor provide timely notifications IAW the terms of the contract? Did the contractor utilize Small Business Concerns?

- (1) Marginal: Needs constant oversight.
- (2) Average/Acceptable: Usually is in compliance.
- (3) Good/Satisfactory: Generally is in compliance.
- (4) Highly Satisfactory: Mostly complied with all terms and conditions of the contract.
- (5) Superior: Fully complied with all terms and conditions of the contract.

Please provide remarks to amplify the evaluation. If unable to evaluate, please indicate why.

#### C. Quality of Performance

Score

What are the indications regarding the quality of the contractor's product (or performance) in terms of what the customer expected prior to award versus actual performance? Does the contractor provide high standards of workmanship and live up to their promises and commitments?

- (1) Marginal: Needs frequent oversight, occasionally missed schedules.
- (2) Average/Acceptable: Needs some oversight, rarely missed schedules.
- (3) Good/Satisfactory: Carried out the assigned tasks with some problems.
- (4) Highly Satisfactory: Fully carried out the assigned tasks with few problems.
- (5) Superior: Fully carried out the assigned tasks with no problems.

Please provide remarks to amplify the evaluation. If unable to evaluate, please indicate why.

# D. Schedule Adherence

Score

Are performance schedules adhered to? Does the contractor act to avoid potential problems that would effect timely performance/delivery or did they perform ahead of schedule? This includes proper management of subcontractor performance to avoid schedule delays.

- (1) Marginal: Has trouble meeting the Government's schedule, does not improve with time and experience, usually confrontational when pressured.
- (2) Average/Acceptable: Generally always met the required schedule, had some minor problems that affected on the customer's schedule, strives to exceed, improves with and each task.
- (3) Good/Satisfactory: Usually always met the required schedule, had some minor problems with no affect on the customer's schedule.
- (4) Highly Satisfactory: Almost always met the required schedule, had some very minor problems with no affect on the customer's schedule.
- (5) Superior: Fully met the required schedule.

Please provide remarks to amplify the evaluation. If unable to evaluate, please indicate why.

## E. Cost Control

Score

Does the contractor have good cost control and estimating measures in place. Do they provide advanced notification of potential cost growths? Do they aggressively act to control costs including closely monitoring subcontractor costs?

- (1) Marginal: No cost control mechanisms in place, frequent cost growths with no advanced notification.
- (2) Average/Acceptable: Works at controlling costs, occasional cost growths.
- (3) Good/Satisfactory: Generally controls costs, has some rare problems. (4) Highly Satisfactory: Controls costs.
- (3) Superior: Acts aggressively to control costs.

Please provide remarks to amplify the evaluation. If unable to evaluate, please indicate why.